

Written Outline for 5th Exam Component **Abitur 2026**

Name: _____

If applicable, group exam together with:

Exam Subjects:

Reference Subject (Main subject): _____

Second Subject (Minor Subject): _____

Topic / Lead Question:

Picture with reference to the topic

Examining Board:

Sponsoring teacher: 1. _____

Other members of the examining board:

2. _____

3. _____

2. Description of the work process (if applicable of the group)

- *Short description of the topic finding process*
- *Delimitation, reasoning for the choice of topic with regard to reference- and **minor subject***
- *Explanation of the reasons for/ against a group exam.*
- *If applicable, and a short description of the process of group formation.*
- *Subject related background, if applicable, **subsumption of the topic into a in superior scientific context.***
- ***For Drama: if necessary, factual analysis of the key concepts***
- *Rationale for the choice of media.*
- *Rationale for the choice of methods.*
- *Justification for / against the use of AI tools*
- *If AI was used, which tools were used and when were they used in the course of the work process and for what purpose?*
- *How was the information obtained with the help of AI checked for consistency and accuracy?*

3. Bibliography

All sources* used (books, articles, web sites or other materials) must be indicated as follows:

- Books: *Name, First Name: Title, Publisher, year of publication, page*

Example: Moore, M. *Stupid White Men*. London: Penguin. 2001, p58

- Internetsites: *Precise description of internet address with date of referring to the website*

Example: UN Millennium Development Goals. <http://www.un.org/millenniumgoals/> 13 Mar 2004

- *For group presentations: Indication of the sources used mutually or individually.*

*References may be given in font size 8 and with line spacing 1.0.

5 selected sources must be annotated as follows:

- *Content classification in a thematic field*
- *Assessment of the sources*

Example: *The reference provided a detailed insight into certain areas (...) of my topic. In particular, the graphical representations of my main topic (...) were very descriptive and led to a better understanding. For the rough overview of the topic, the reference was rather unsuitable.*

4. Description of the work process

General scheme regarding the various stages of the work process

Pioneering steps, if any, that were completed with the help of AI

Date	Content aspects [After a consultation/research/interview/ ...]	What is the significance of this step for my presentation?
<i>Example: ...September 2024</i>	<i>Concretization of the lead question after the 1st consultation and further literature research.</i>	<i>The concretization resulted in a regional delimitation of my topic and the content-related reference to the minor subject was clearly emphasized.</i>
<i>...December 2024</i>	<i>Revision of the outline of the presentation using ChatGPT</i>	<i>After having two fundamentally different ideas on how I wanted to structure my presentation, AI helped me to decide.</i>
...	...	...

5. Outline of the Presentation

The outline must make the „thread“ of the presentation apparent.

Furthermore, the individual contributions in group exams must become visual.

For the subject Drama it is necessary to write down all texts that play a role in the production.

6. Reflection

Was the decision for a group exam correct?

How did I/we cope with the topic / question? Did I/we have to narrow it down or widen it?

Which problems did occur during the time of preparation and how did I/we overcome these?

How and to what extent was the use of AI helpful during the different stages of the work process?

*Which new questions, topics or aspects in the context of my/our topic did come up during the work
process?*

*Which work steps went as planned / better / worse and what consequences do I draw from this for future
research?*

What remains to be done until the day of the presentation?

*Do I / we expect to concern my- / ourselves again with this topic (for example during an apprenticeship or
academic studies)?*

7. Declaration of autonomy

I hereby affirm, that the submitted elaboration as well as the presentation about the topic

„ ... “

was created independently and that no other than the stated resources were used. Those passages of the presentation which, according to wording, spirit or purpose were reclaimed from other works or the internet are indicated by specification of the respective reference.

Location, Date

Signature of the Student

Please note!!! Formal and linguistic requirements

Font and font size:	Arial (11pt), Times New Roman (12pt), Cambria (12pt)
Line spacing:	1.5 lines
Typeface:	Left-justified or justified
Number of pages:	5 to 8 pages (cover sheet and statement of independence are not counted)
Margins:	Top and bottom 1.5 cm, left 2.2 cm, right 2.5 cm
Page layout:	The first page is the cover page. After that, you do not have to start a new page for each new bullet point.
Linguistic presentation:	Logical, stringent presentation; clear and accurate expression; use of technical terms
Linguistic correctness:	According to the rules of the German language; correct use of complex use of complex structures

*References may be given in font size 8 and with a line spacing 1.0.